arXiv:1207.1596v1 [quant-ph] 6 Jul 2012

Detection of k-nonseparable n-partite quantum states

Ting Gao* and Yan Hong
College of Mathematics and Information Science,
Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China

(Dated: July 9, 2012)

The detection of multipartite entanglement in arbitrary dimensional systems is investigated. We derive useful k-separability criteria of mixed n-partite ($n \geq 3$) quantum states to detect k-nonseparable n-partite quantum states. Our criteria can be expressed by the elements of the density matrix, which allows a simple and practical evaluation and computation. They are experimentally accessible without quantum state tomography.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement has long been considered as one of the most amazingly unique features of quantum mechanics [1], and has widely been applied to quantum communication [2–8], quantum teleportation [9–11] and quantum computation [12, 13]. However, with the continuous studies on entanglement properties, many questions remain to be answered. One of the basic questions is whether a certain quantum state is entangled or not. For bipartite systems, in particular for the case of qubits, many well-known (necessary) separability criteria [14–24] have been proposed to distinguish separable from entangled states, and work very well in many cases. Despite the many efforts that has been devoted to solve this problem, the general method of detecting a certain class of entanglement in a multipartite system with an arbitrary number of particles is still currently limited and underdeveloped.

Recently much progress has been made on the detection of the genuine multipartite entanglement [25–32].

In this paper, we present powerful k-separability criteria to identify k-nonseparable multipartite mixed states in arbitrary dimensional quantum systems. The resulting criteria, along with its application, are also discussed. Our criteria are easily computable and ready to be implemented in experiments.

II. DEFINITIONS

An n-partite pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ (dim $\mathcal{H} = d_i \geq 2$) is called k-separable if there is a k-partition $j_1^1 \cdots j_{m_1}^1 | j_1^2 \cdots j_{m_2}^2 | \cdots | j_1^k \cdots j_{m_k}^k$ such that

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle_{j_1^1 \cdots j_{m_1}^1} |\psi_2\rangle_{j_1^2 \cdots j_{m_2}^2} \cdots |\psi_k\rangle_{j_1^k \cdots j_{m_k}^k}, \tag{1}$$

where $|\psi_i\rangle_{j_1^i \cdots j_{m_i}^i}$ is the state of particles j_1^i, j_2^i, $\cdots$, $j_{m_i}^i$, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{j_1^i, j_2^i, \cdots, j_{m_i}^i\} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. An n-partite mixed state ρ is k-separable if it can be written as a convex combination of k-separable pure states

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|, \tag{2}$$

where $|\psi_i\rangle$ might be k-separable under different partitions. In particular, an n-partite state is called fully separable, iff it is n-separable. It is called genuinely n-partite entangled, iff it is not biseparable (2-separable). In general, k-separable mixed states are not separable with regard to any specific partition, which makes k-separability rather difficult to detect.

---

*Electronic address: gaoting@hebtu.edu.cn

III. k-SEPARABILITY CRITERIA

Before we formulate our separability criteria, an introduction of notations that will be involved in the subsequent sections of our article is necessary. Let $|\phi\rangle = \otimes_{i=1}^{n}|x_i\rangle = |x_1x_2\cdots x_n\rangle$ be a fully separable state with Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1\otimes\mathcal{H}_2\otimes\cdots\mathcal{H}_n$, and $|\Phi_{ij}\rangle = |\phi_i\rangle|\phi_j\rangle$, where $|\phi_i\rangle = |x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}\tilde{x}_ix_{i+1}\cdots x_n\rangle$ and $|\phi_j\rangle = |x_1x_2\cdots x_{j-1}\tilde{x}_jx_{j+1}\cdots x_n\rangle$ be the product states obtained from $|\phi\rangle$ by applying (independently) local unitary transformations to $|x_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $|x_j\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_j$, respectively. Let $|\phi_{ij}\rangle = |x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}\tilde{x}_ix_{i+1}\cdots x_{j-1}\tilde{x}_jx_{j+1}\cdots x_n\rangle$.

Theorem Let ρ be a k-separable n-partite density matrix acting on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_1\otimes\mathcal{H}_2\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_n$. Then

$$\sum_{i\neq j}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2}P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} \leq \sum_{i\neq j}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} + (n-k)\sum_{i}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ii}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ii}\rangle}. \tag{3}$$

Here P_{tot} denotes the operator that performs a simultaneous local permutation on all subsystems in $(\mathcal{H}_1\otimes\mathcal{H}_2\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_n)^{\otimes 2}$, while P_i just performs a permutation on $\mathcal{H}_i^{\otimes 2}$ and leaves all other subsystems unchanged.

Proof. To establish the validity of inequality (3) for all k-separable states ρ, let us first verify that this is true for any k-separable pure state ρ.

Suppose that $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is a k-separable pure state under a partition of $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ into k pairwise disjoint subsets: $\{1,2,\cdots,n\} = \bigcup\limits_{l=1}^{k} A_l$ with $A_l = \{j_1^l, j_2^l,\cdots,j_{m_l}^l\}$, and

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle_{j_1^1\cdots j_{m_1}^1}\cdots|\psi_k\rangle_{j_1^k\cdots j_{m_k}^k}. \tag{4}$$

By calculation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2}P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle}\\ =\ &\sqrt{\langle\phi_i|\rho|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_j|\rho|\phi_j\rangle}\\ \leq\ &\frac{\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ii}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ii}\rangle}+\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{jj}|P_j^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_j|\Phi_{jj}\rangle}}{2}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

in case of i,j in same part, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2}P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle}\\ =\ &\sqrt{\langle\phi|\rho|\phi\rangle\langle\phi_{ij}|\rho|\phi_{ij}\rangle}\\ =\ &\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle}\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

in case of i,j in different parts ($i\in A_l, j\in A_{l'}$ with $l\neq l'$). Combining (5) and (6) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i\neq j}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2}P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle}\\ =\ &\sum_{\substack{i\in A_l, j\in A_{l'}, l\neq l'\\ l,l'\in\{1,2,\cdots,k\}}}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2}P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} + \sum_{\substack{i,j\in A_l, i\neq j\\ l\in\{1,2,\cdots,k\}}}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2}P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle}\\ \leq\ &\sum_{\substack{i\in A_l, j\in A_{l'}, l\neq l'\\ l,l'\in\{1,2,\cdots,k\}}}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} + \sum_{\substack{i,j\in A_l, i\neq j\\ l\in\{1,2,\cdots,k\}}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ii}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ii}\rangle}+\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{jj}|P_j^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_j|\Phi_{jj}\rangle}}{2}\right)\\ \leq\ &\sum_{i\neq j}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} + (n-k)\sum_{i}\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ii}|P_i^{+}\rho^{\otimes 2}P_i|\Phi_{ii}\rangle}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus, inequality (3) is satisfied by all k-separable n-partite pure states.

It remains to show that inequality (3) holds if ρ is a k-separable n-partite mixed state. Indeed, the generalization of inequality (3) to mixed states is a direct consequence of the convexity of its left hand side and the concavity of its right hand side, which we can see in the following.

Suppose that

$$\rho = \sum_m p_m\rho_m = \sum_m p_m|\psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_m| \tag{8}$$

is a k-separable n-partite mixed state, where $\rho_m = |\psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_m|$ is k-separable. Then, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $(\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m} x_k y_k)^2 \leq (\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m} x_k^2)(\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m} y_k^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i\neq j} \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2} P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} \\
\leq\ & \sum_m p_m \sum_{i\neq j} \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho_m^{\otimes 2} P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} \\
\leq\ & \sum_m p_m \left(\sum_{i\neq j} \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{+} \rho_m^{\otimes 2} P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} + (n-k) \sum_i \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ii}|P_i^{+} \rho_m^{\otimes 2} P_i|\Phi_{ii}\rangle} \right) \\
=\ & \sum_{i\neq j} \sum_m \sqrt{\langle\phi|p_m\rho_m|\phi\rangle} \sqrt{\langle\phi_{ij}|p_m\rho_m|\phi_{ij}\rangle} + (n-k) \sum_i \sum_m p_m \langle\phi_i|\rho_m|\phi_i\rangle \\
\leq\ & \sum_{i\neq j} \sqrt{\sum_m \langle\phi|p_m\rho_m|\phi\rangle \sum_m \langle\phi_{ij}|p_m\rho_m|\phi_{ij}\rangle} + (n-k) \sum_i \langle\phi_i|\rho|\phi_i\rangle \\
=\ & \sum_{i\neq j} \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{+} \rho^{\otimes 2} P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} + (n-k) \sum_i \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ii}|P_i^{+} \rho^{\otimes 2} P_i|\Phi_{ii}\rangle},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

which finishes the proof of Ineq.(3).

Theorem 2. Every fully separable n-partite state ρ satisfies

$$\sqrt{\langle\Phi\,|\rho^{\otimes 2} P_{tot}|\,\Phi\rangle} \leqslant \sqrt{\left\langle\Phi\,\middle|P_i^{\dagger} \rho^{\otimes 2} P_i\middle|\,\Phi\right\rangle} \tag{10}$$

for fully separable states $|\Phi\rangle \in (\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n)^{\otimes 2}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. These are n inequalities, and violation of any one of them implies nonseparability.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2 in Ref.[29], we can easily obtain the inequality (10). Note that the left-hand side of inequality (10) minus the right-hand side of (10) is a convex function of the matrix ρ entries (since the left-hand side is convex and the right-hand side is concave). Consequently, it suffices to prove the validity for fully separable pure states, and the validity for mixed states is guaranteed.

Note that fully separable state $|\Phi\rangle$ can be re-written as $|\Phi\rangle = |\Phi_1\rangle|\Phi_2\rangle$ with the fully separable n-partite states $|\Phi_1\rangle$ and $|\Phi_2\rangle$. Then we have

$$\sqrt{\langle\Phi|\rho^{\otimes 2} P_{tot}|\Phi\rangle} = |\langle\Phi_1|\rho|\Phi_2\rangle|, \tag{11}$$

Suppose that ρ is a fully separable n-partite pure state, then one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\langle\Phi|P_i^{\dagger} \rho^{\otimes 2} P_i|\Phi\rangle} = \sqrt{\langle\Phi|\rho^{\otimes 2}|\Phi\rangle} \\
=\ & \sqrt{\langle\Phi_1|\rho|\Phi_1\rangle\langle\Phi_2|\rho|\Phi_2\rangle} = |\langle\Phi_1|\rho|\Phi_2\rangle|.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The combination of above two equalities gives that (10) holds with equality if ρ is a fully separable n-partite pure state.

Let $|\Phi\rangle = |\Phi_{ij}\rangle$, there is

Theorem 3. Every fully separable n-partite state ρ satisfies

$$\sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|\rho^{\otimes 2} P_{tot}|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} \leqslant \sqrt{\langle\Phi_{ij}|P_i^{\dagger} \rho^{\otimes 2} P_i|\Phi_{ij}\rangle} \tag{13}$$

for fully separable states defined as

$$|\Phi_{ij}\rangle = |\phi_i\rangle|\phi_j\rangle, \tag{14}$$

with $|\phi_i\rangle = |x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} \tilde{x}_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$, where the i-th local state is $|\tilde{x}_i\rangle$ and the others are $|x_k\rangle$ $(k \neq i)$. These are $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ inequalities, and violation of any one of them implies nonseparability.

The theorem 1 in Ref.[**?**] is the special case of above Theorem 3.

IV. CONCLUSION

The detection of multipartite entanglement in arbitrary dimensional systems is investigated. We derive useful k-separability criteria of mixed n-partite $(n \geq 3)$ quantum states to detect k-nonseparable n-partite quantum states.

Our criteria can be expressed by the elements of the density matrix, which allows a simple and practical evaluation and computation. They are experimentally accessible without quantum state tomography.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No: 10971247, Hebei Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos: F2009000311, A2010000344.

[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009).
[2] F. L. Yan, T. Gao, and E. Chitambar, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 022319 (2011).
[3] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
[4] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, and N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 557 (1992).
[5] H. K. Lo and H. F. Chau, Science **283**, 2050 (1999).
[6] F. L. Yan and X. Q. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. B **41**, 75 (2004).
[7] T. Gao, F. L. Yan, and Z. X. Wang, J. Phys. A **38**, 5761 (2005).
[8] X. B. Wang, T. Hiroshima, A. Tomita, *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **448**, 1 (2007).
[9] T. Gao, F. L. Yan, and Y. C. Li Europhys. Lett. **84**, 50001 (2008).
[10] T. Gao, F. L. Yan, and Y. C. Li, Science in China Series G, **51**, 1529 (2008).
[11] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
[12] C. H. Bennett and D. P. DiVincenzo, Nature **404**, 247 (2000).
[13] R. Raussendorf and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5188 (2001).
[14] J. S. Bell, Physics (N.Y.) **1**, 195 (1964).
[15] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996).
[16] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 1 (1996).
[17] M. Horodecki and P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 4206 (1999).
[18] N. J. Cerf and C. Adami, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5194 (1997).
[19] P. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **232**, 333 (1997).
[20] M. A. Nielsen and J. Kempe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5184 (2001).
[21] O. Rudolph, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 032312 (2003).
[22] K. Chen and L. A. Wu, Quant. Inf. Comput. **3**, 193 (2003).
[23] K. Chen and L. A. Wu, Phys. Lett. A **306**, 14 (2002).
[24] S. Albeverio, K. Chen, and S. M. Fei, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 062313 (2003).
[25] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Phys. Rep. **474**, 1 (2009)
[26] O. Gühne and M. Seevinck, New J. Phys. **12**, 053002 (2010).
[27] M. Huber, F. Mintert, A. Gabriel, and B. C. Hiesmayr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 210501 (2010).
[28] A. Gabriel, B. C. Hiesmayr, and M. Huber, Quantum Inf. Comput. **10**, 829 (2010).
[29] T. Gao and Y. Hong, Phys. Rev. A. **82**, 062113 (2010).
[30] T. Gao and Y. Hong, Eur. Phys. J. D **61**, 765 (2011).
[31] T. Gao, Y. Hong, arXiv:1204.2864v1.
[32] T. Gao, Y. Hong, arXiv:1206.6669v1.